UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
JORGE PEREZ,	-Х

USEC SERVY
VACCUMENT
VACCUMENT
VALCUMENT
VALCU

Plaintiff,

13 Civ. 4616 (ALC)(FM) 13 Civ. 5318 (ALC)(FM)

- against -

CAPTAIN COLLASO # 2377, and C.O. DIAS # 7766,

ORDER ADOPTING
REPORT AND
RECOMMENDATION

	Defendants.	
		7

## ANDREW L. CARTER, JR., United States District Judge:

On July 2, 2013, <u>pro se</u> Plaintiff Jorge Perez filed a Complaint, alleging two corrections officers used excessive force against him while he was in custody at the North Infirmary Command at Rikers Island. Perez filed a second, identical case on July 2, 2013, which was consolidated with this case by Magistrate Judge Maas's Order dated October 21, 2013.

After being informed by the New York City Law Department that Perez was no longer in custody, on November 11, 2013 Magistrate Judge Maas ordered Perez to update his contact information and appear before the Court for a conference on December 9, 2013. (See Dkt. No. 14.) He also warned Perez that if he failed to appear on that date, his case might be dismissed for failure to prosecute. Perez neither provided updated contact information, nor appeared for the hearing before Magistrate Judge Maas on December 9, 2013.

On December 10, 2013, Magistrate Judge Maas issued a thoughtful and thorough Report and Recommendation ("R&R"), proposing Perez's cases be dismissed for want of prosecution.

(See Dkt. No. 15.) The R&R notified Perez of his right to submit objections and stated the required time frame for doing so. Magistrate Judge Maas also noted a copy of the R&R was sent

Case 1:13-cv-04616-ALC-FM Document 17 Filed 03/14/14 Page 2 of 2

to Perez at his last known address at Rikers Island. Despite notification of the right to object to

the R&R, no objections were filed. By Order dated February 5, 2014, this Court ordered Perez

to show cause as to why the R&R should not be adopted in its entirety, which, if adopted, would

result in the dismissal of both cases, No. 13 Civ. 4616 and No. 13 Civ. 5318, for failure to

prosecute. Perez did not submit any response to the Court's Order by February 21, 2014 as

required and still has not updated his contact information with the Court.

When no objection is made, the Court subjects the R&R to a clear error review. Arthur v.

Goord, No. 06 Civ. 326 (DLC), 2008 WL 482866, at \*3 (S.D.N.Y. Feb. 21, 2008) ("To accept

those portions of the report to which no timely objection has been made, 'a district court need

only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record." (quoting Figueroa v.

Riverbay Corp., No. 06 Civ. 5364 (PAC), 2006 WL 3804581, at \*1 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 22, 2006))).

The Court's review finds no clear error, and accordingly, the Court ADOPTS Magistrate Judge

Maas's R&R in its entirety. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b) and/or the inherent powers of the

Court, Plaintiffs' cases are **DISMISSED** without prejudice. The Clerk of Court is respectfully

directed to close case numbers 13 Civ. 4616 and 13 Civ. 5318 from the Court's docket.

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

New York, New York

March 14, 2014

ANDREW L. CARTER, JR.

**United States District Judge** 

2